지역기반 사회적기업 육성을 통한 낙후지역 발전 연구

Development of Depressed Areas via the Growth of Local Community-based Social Enterprises

국토연 2011-19 · 지역기반 사회적기업 육성을 통한 낙후지역 발전 연구

지은이 · 변필성, 윤영모 / 펴낸이 · 박양호 / 펴낸곳 · 국토연구원
출판등록 · 제2-22호 / 인쇄 · 2011년 10월 12일 / 발행 · 2011년 10월 14일
주소 · 경기도 안양시 동안구 시민대로 254 (431-712)
전화 · 031-380-0114(대표), 031-380-0426(배포) / 팩스 · 031-380-0470
ISBN · 978-89-8182-820-2
한국연구재단 연구분야 분류코드 B170600
http://www.krihs.re.kr

국토연 2011-19

지역기반 사회적기업 육성을 통한 낙후지역 발전 연구

Development of Depressed Areas via the Growth of Local Community-based Social Enterprises

변필성 · 윤영모

국토연구원 KRIHS

연 구 진

연구책임 변필성 연구위원

연구반 윤영모 연구원

연구심의위원 손경환 국토연구원 부원장
김동주 국토연구원 국토계획·지역연구본부장
권영섭 국토연구원 선임연구위원
장철순 국토연구원 연구위원

연구자문위원 박용규 삼성경제연구소 수석연구원
서종균 한국도시연구소 연구위원
성주인 한국농촌경제연구원 농촌발전팀장
안영진 전남대학교 교수
오은주 한국지방행정연구원 수석연구원
이민수 전북발전연구원 부연구위원
이영아 대구대학교 교수
이원호 성신여자대학교 교수
조영국 협성대학교 교수

P · R · E · F · A · C · E

발 간 사

2011 10

S · U · M · M · A · R · Y

요 약

1

,

. , ,

, .

.

.

, ,

,

.

,

.

, .

. ,

. , .

.

,

. ,

. , ,

, .

,

, 1990 ,

. .

. ,

. , ,

. .

, .

2

.

EMES

.

CIC(Community Interest Company)

. Haugh(2005) Borzaga

& Tortia(2009) ,

,

. , , ,

, , , .

. 「 」

.

3

.

,

, , ,

,

,

.

70 · ,

(70) (

) 6 76 · .

, , , ,

. ,

. ,

. ,

,

.

. , 76

· 1995 ~2010 ,

. .

, .

.

, .

, .

,

.

.

, 1997 ~2009 , ,

, , 76 .

,

. 76

.

. 76

. 0

.

.

,

, .

.

1 ,

.

(+)

.

,

,

.

, (

4

x

①

(:)

(North Dakota)

(Project Back-Home Cooperative program)

②

.

. , ,

. , ,

, , .

.

「 」

. 「 」

,

,

. ,

.

,

.

. .

.

③

. 「 」 2008

, ,

,

.

,

.

CDFI(Community Development Financial Institution)

. CITR(Community Investment Tax Relief) .
CDFI
, CDFI . CITR CDFI
. CITR
CDFI
.
④ .
.
. , , , ,
NGO NPO, .
.
. , (2010)
,
. .
,
.
.
,
. . .
.
5
,

■ 색인어 _ 지역기반 사회적기업, 낙후지역

■ 한국연구재단 연구분야 분류코드 _ B170600

C · O · N · T · E · N · T · S

차 례

T · A · B · L · E · C · O · N · T · E · N · T · S

표 차 례

F · I · G · U · R · E · C · O · N · T · E · N · T · S

그 림 차 례

C · H · A · P · T · E · R · 1

1 서 론

제1장은 본 연구의 개요로서 먼저 연구의 배경 및 필요성을 논의한다. 낙후지역에서 지역발전의 주요한 동력원인 기업투자가 상대적으로 저조하다는 점, 그리고 낙후지역 경제의 쇠퇴·침체, 인구감소, 고령화 등으로 인해 취약계층 및 그에 준하는 계층의 증가가 우려되어 해당 계층에 대한 배려가 요구된다는 점을 강조한다. 그러한 맥락에서 낙후지역 발전을 위한 지역기반 사회적기업 육성의 필요성과 지원방안에 관한 논의를 연구목적으로 설정한다.

1.

· ,

,

.

, 「 」(,)

, .

. .

· , · , .

, , ,

(Taylor & Wren, 1997),

(Haugh, 2005).

.
( ),
(·)
. 「 」
, ,
,
.

.
,
, ,
.

2.

,

.
,
,
.
.
, ,

,
.
,

. ,

, . ,

.

3.

1)

(1) 시간적 범위

2010

, 2010 .

1997 2010

. , 1997

. .

(2) 공간적 범위

.

, ,

, (

) .

(3) 내용적 범위

. ,

,

.

, .

2)

(1) 문헌연구

(2) 통계분석

(3) 전문가 의견수렴 및 자문회의 개최

, ,

,

. ,

, .

3)

< 1-1> .

〈그림 1-1〉 연구의 흐름



C · H · A · P · T · E · R · 2

2 사회적기업과 지역발전의 연계

제2장은 본 연구의 이론적 고찰로서 사회적기업과 지역발전의 연계에 초점을 맞춘다. 먼저 사회적기업의 지역발전 기여 가능성을 논의하고, 그러한 논의를 토대로 지역기반 사회적기업을 제시하여 개념적으로 정의한다. 그리고 낙후지역을 비롯한 지역의 발전과 사회적기업 간의 연계에 관한 선행연구를 고찰한다.

1.

,

(Young, 2006).

.[1]

. 1990

(, 2009),

1) (Haugh, 2005; Young, 2006; , 2007)
. ,
, ,
(, 2009).
,
.

(, 2007) .

,

,

(, 2009; , 2007).

,

,

(,

2009; , 2007).

.

,

.

.

, , , , ,

(handicap)

,

.[2]

, ,

(, 2009), , , · ,

.[3]

---

2) 「 」 2 . — ①
60% , ② 「
」 2 1 , ③ 「 」
… ( ) … ⑫ 1 「
」 10 .

3) 「 」 2 3 " , , ,
, " .
3 ① , ② · , ③
, ④ , ⑤ , ⑥

,

. Haugh(2005) ,

: ① . , ②

, , ③

, ④

, ⑤ (local democracy) , ⑥

, , ⑦

, ⑧ , ,

, ⑨ . ,

.

, , , , ,

, . .

Borzaga & Tortia(2009) , ,

, ,

. ,

, . ,

(Borzaga & Tortia, 2009).

EMES , CIC(Community

Interest Company) . EMES

(ideal-type) ,

, (Defourney & Nyssens, 2008).

, ⑦ 「 」 .

, ① , ②

, ③ , ④

.

, ,

.

, ① , ②

, ③

(1 1), ④

, ⑤ . EMES

,

,

.

Defourney & Nyssens(2008) ,

.

CIC . CIC

, ,

(The Regulator of Community Interest Companies, 2010).

(www.cicregulator.gov.uk/guidanceindex.shtml).

CIC

(Nichols, 2010). CIC

,

(www.cicregulator.gov.uk/guidanceindex.shtml),

.

, EMES

,

CIC .

「

」 . 2 1 ,

“

. ” .

. , 「

」

(< 2-1>).[4)]

,

, ,

. . .

「 」 「 」 . ,

, , . EMES

, ,

, 「 」

⅔ .

. .

,

5)

(< 2-1>). , ,

---

4) , 2011 10 555 (www.socialenterprise.go.kr).

5) , “ ” (「 」 9 1 3).

,

, ,

.

〈표 2-1〉 사회적기업의 인증요건

① • 「 」 · , 「 」 , 「 · 」 2 , 「 」 2 , 「 」 2 2 , 「 」 2 ,	「 」 8 1 , 8
② ·	8 2
③ • , 50%(2013 12 31 30%) • , 50%(2013 12 31 30%) • , 30%(2013 12 31 20%) • , . **20%** • ,	8 3 , 9

(   )

④ (,)	8 4
⑤ 6 ( ) 30%	8 5 , 10
⑥「 」 9	8 6
⑦ , ⅔ (「 」)	8 7

〈그림 2-1〉 사회적기업의 역할과 지역발전 기여 가능성



: (2011a, p.137)

, < 2-1> ,

, ,

.

2.

2 1 . , EMES

.

CIC

. Haugh(2005), Borzaga & Tortia(2009), 「

」

.

, ‘

, , ‘

, .

‘ .

, ,

, .

‘

, . 「 」

,

.

2007 2008

‘ , .

. ,

,

, (, 2010).

,

(, 2010).

(, 2010).

,

.

, .

1990 ‘( ) ’ .

( ) , ,

, ,

,

(· , 2010; , 2010).

,

(· , 2010; , 2010).

,

,

.

, .

,

.

.

, .

(community business)

. .

(, 2009) .

, ,

, , (, 2009).

6) 7)

. .

,

, , ,

,

(, 2009).

.

.

.

. ,

, ,

.

6)

, (, 2011b).

2010

2011 (2010; 2011a).

2010 184 ( ) , 2011

500 , 2013 1000 (2011c).

2 8 (1 5 , 2 3) .

7)

. 2011

2015 1000 3000 (

, 2010), 2011 54 2 ,

, 5 (, 2011). 2010 10

(· , 2010).

,

,

.

. , ,

, ,

.

.

.

〈그림 2-2〉 지역기반 사회적기업의 개념적 이해



, < 2-2> .

,

.

,

.

,

, .

.

.

3.

2 3

. (2009), (2009), · (2010),

(2010) .

(2009) 3 , ,

, , , ,

,

. ,

, .

, ·

.

(2009)

, .

(2009)

,

. ,

,

〈표 2-2〉 커뮤니티비즈니스의 유형화

	• . • :
	• . • :
	• . • :
	• • : .

: (2009, pp42-43)

, (2009)

,

, .

.

(2010)

(2010)

(2010)

(2010)

8)

(, 2010).

, (2010)

8) , 「

」 .

,[9)]

.

.

(2010)

.

, .

. ,

.

, 1 , ,

.

,

. 3

.

. 4

,

.

9) . ,

. .

〈표 2-3〉 주요 선행연구와 본 연구 간의 차별성

	1	• : ? • ( ): (2009) • : , , ,	• •	• • • • • •
	2	• : • ( ): (2009) • :	• • •	• • • •
	3	• : • ( ): · (2010) • :	• • •	• • • •
	4	• : (1/2) • ( ): (2010) • : ·	• • •	• • • • •
		• , ,	• • •	• , • • • •

C · H · A · P · T · E · R · 3

3 낙후지역 현황 및 변화 분석과 현행 낙후지역 발전정책 고찰

제3장은 우선 통계자료를 활용하여 우리나라 낙후지역의 현황 및 변화를 분석한다. 낙후지역을 조작적으로 정의하고, 낙후지역에서의 취약계층 및 기업투자를 나타낼 수 있는 지표를 활용한다. 그리고 현 정부 낙후지역 발전정책의 근간인 성장촉진지역 및 특수상황지역 개발을 고찰한다. 특히 성장촉진지역 및 특수상황지역 개발이 포괄하는 내역사업을 살펴봄으로써, 현행 낙후지역 발전정책의 특성과 문제점을 제시한다. 마지막으로 낙후지역 현황 및 변화 분석과 낙후지역 발전정책의 고찰을 토대로 낙후지역 발전을 위한 지역기반 사회적기업 육성의 필요성을 논의한다.

1.

1)

.

2

,

.

,

, , , , ,

.

.

, 「 」 , 「

」 ( )

. ,

,

.

70 · ,

6 .

.

, . .

, , ,

70 ·

. · (

,) , ,

, ,

.

70 · .

(< 21> < 22>).

, 15 · 6 , ‘

’, ‘ ’, ‘ ’, ‘ ’, ‘ ’, ‘ ’

. 2011 「 」

. . . ,

, , ,

(< 23> < 24>).

. ,

.

(70) ,

6 .

, .

· · ,

.

.

.

. .

,

.

.

〈표 3-1〉 본 연구의 낙후지역

	76 ·
(1)	*
(12)	, , , , , , *, *, *, *, *
(5)	, , , ,
(5)	, , , ,
(10)	, , , , , , , , ,
(17)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(16)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(10)	, , , , , , , , ,

*

, · 76 (

70 , 6) (< 3-1>). ,

, 161 ·

(2 , ,)

76 · .

〈그림 3-1〉 본 연구의 낙후지역



2)

. ,

.

, . ,

,

.

,

① , ② 65 ( )

, ③ [10] , ④ 15 ~64 ( )

, ⑤ 20 ~49 (·) .

⑥ , ⑦

, ⑧

.

「 」 2 2

(< 3-2>),

. .

,

, . ,

.

,

①

, ② ,

③

. .

10) 15 65 .

. .

.

.

〈표 3-2〉「사회적기업 육성법」 및 동법 시행령상의 취약계층 정의

「 」 2 ( ) … ( ) … 2. “ ” , .
「 」 2 ( )「 」 2 2 . 1. 100 60 2. 「 」 2 1 3. 「 」 2 1 4. 「 」 2 1 4 5. 「 」 2 1 「 」 2 1 「 」 26 1 1 6. 「 」 2 1 7. 「 」 2 3 8. 「 」 5 5 2 9. 「 」 2 3 10. 「 」 3 3 11. . 「 」 16 , . 「 」 16 , 12. 1 「 」 10

〈표 3-3〉 분석지표

		1995 ~2010 ( )	
	65	2010	
	65	1995 ~2010	
	15 ~64	2010	
	20 ~49		
.	15 ~64	1995 ~2010	
	20 ~49		
		2005	10% ( )
( )		1997 ~2009 ( )	
( )			

, < 3-3> . 2010 ,

2010

. , , ,

2010 10%

, 2005 2010 .

2010 ·

1997 ,

1997 .

, · 15 ~64 , 20 ~49 , 65

,

.

3)

(1) 인구변화

1995 ~2010 0.6% .

76 · 1995 ~2010 -1.9%

, -1.9% .

· (0.1%)

, 0 2

. , 76 ·

.

, .

.

〈표 3-4〉 인구변화

	76 ·			( )	( )		0
(1995 ~2010)	-4.1%	0.1%	-1.9%	-1.9%	0.6%	76 .	2

〈표 3-5〉 상관분석: 인구변화와 기업투자

	(1997 ~2009)					
(1995 ~2010)	0.637**	0.387**	0.411**	0.230*	0.585**	0.323**

1) 76 ·

2) ** 1% ; * 5%

〈표 3-6〉 상관분석: 인구변화와 생산가능인구 비율 및 청·장년층 인구 비율

	2010		1995 ~2010	
	15~64	20~49	15~64	20~49
(1995 ~2010)	0.663**	0.733**	0.710**	0.804**

1) 76 ·

2) ** 1%

〈표 3-7〉 상관분석: 인구변화와 노년층 인구 비율

	2010		1995 ~2010	
	65		65	
(1995 ~2010)	-0.719**	-0.684**	-0.847**	-0.718**

1) 76 ·

2) ** 1%

76 · ,
(1995 ~2010) , ,
, (1997
~2009) (< 3-5>).

.

. 1995 ~2010

(15 ~64) . (20 ~49)

(< 3-6>). ,

1995 ~2010 (65)

(< 3-7>).

. ,

. < 3-8>

, 76 · . 1995

~2010 , .

. . ,

. .

〈표 3-8〉 생산가능인구, 청·장년층, 노년층 인구 변화: 연평균 증가율

	76 ·			( )	( )	/
15~64 (1995 ~2010)	-5.8%	-0.5%	-2.9%	-2.7%	0.6%	76 · *
20~49 (1995 ~2010)	-6.9%	-0.1%	-3.4%	-3.2%	0.1%	76 · *
65 (1995 ~2010)	1.8%	6.2%	3.0%	2.9%	4.9%	76 · **

1) * · (1995 ~2010)

2) ** · (1995 ~2010)

(2) 고령화

□ 노년층 인구 비중 현황과 변화

2010 · 65

28.8% 245.0% (< 3-9>). 65

(27.9%) (219.0%) . 65

(11.3%) (69.7%) 2.5 3.5 .

76 · 65

, 2010 .

〈표 3-9〉 노년층 인구 비중 현황 및 변화

		76 ·			( )	( )	
	65 (2010)	15.6%	39.4%	28.8%	27.9%	11.3%	76 ·
	(2010)	102.8%	514.8%	245.0%	219.0%	69.7%	
	65 (1995 ~2010)	8.3%p	24.9%p	15.1%p	14.3%p	5.4%p	
	(1995 ~2010)	62.9%p	401.7%p	170.8%p	148.0%p	43.9%p	

< 3-9> ,

. 65 (1995 ~2010)

, 76 · 15.1%p (14.3%p)

, (5.4%p) 2.8 . (1995

~2010) , 76 · 170.8%p

(148.0%p) , (43.9%p)

3.9 . 76 · 65

.

, 1990 ,

,

.

□ 청·장년층 및 생산가능인구 비중 현황과 변화

76 · 2010 (15 ~64)

· (20 ~49)

· (< 3-10>). 2010 ·

65

(< 3-9>) .

, 2010 ·

, .

.

〈표 3-10〉 생산가능인구와 청·장년층 인구 비중 현황 및 변화

		76 ·					
					( )	( )	
	15 ~64 (2010)	51.3%	69.4%	58.8%	59.4%	72.5%	76 ·
	20 ~49 (2010)	22.2%	44.3%	30.4%	31.1%	47.1%	
	15 ~64 (1995 -2010)	-16.1%p	-1.4%p	-8.5%p	-8.0%p	1.4%p	
	20 ~49 (1995 -2010)	-12.9%p	-0.1%p	-7.2%p	-6.7%p	-3.1%p	72 ·

. .

, .

. (< 3-10>).

1995 ~2010 , 76 ·

(-1.4%p),

(1.4%p) . · 1995 ~

2010 76 · (-0.1%p), 72 ·

· (-3.1%p) .

(3) 취업자

40.8% , ·

51.4% 50.4% (< 3-11>).

· 3 .

, · ,

.

〈표 3-11〉 총인구 대비 취업자수

	76 ·					
				( )	( )	
/ (2005)	38.7%	64.2%	51.4%	50.4%	40.8%	3 .
/ (2005)	2.1%	48.7%	28.7%	27.5%	4.4%	1
/ (2005)	15.5%	40.6%	22.7%	22.9%	36.3%	74 .

1) · 15 , 2005
10% ( )
2) 2005
3) 2010 10% ( ) 2005
. 2005 ·
,

76 · ,

(+) ,

(−)

(< 3-12>). ·

,

, .

〈표 3-12〉 상관분석: 취업자, 농림어업 및 비농림어업 취업자

	/	/
/	0.901**	1
/	-0.571**	-0.870**

1) 76 ·
2) ** 1%

,

, < 3-11> .

, 4.4% , ·

1 . ,

36.3% , 76 · 22.7%

22.9% . 76 · 74 ·

.

, ·

.

.

.

. ,

(65) ,

(< 3-13>). ,

.

, (< 3-14>). < 3-13> < 3-14>

. ,

.

〈표 3-13〉 상관분석: 농림어업 취업자와 노년층 인구 비중

	2010		1995 ~2010	
	65		65	
/ (2005)	0.893**	0.825**	0.812**	0.776**

1) 76 .
2) ** 1%

〈표 3-14〉 상관분석: 농림어업 취업자와 생산가능인구 비중 및 청·장년층 인구 비중

	2010		1995 ~2010	
	15~64	20~49	15~64	20~49
/ (2005)	-0.870**	-0.861**	-0.833**	-0.549**

1) 76 .
2) ** 1%

(4) 기업투자

□ 기업투자 변화: 사업체수 및 사업체 종사자수 변화

,

. , < 3-15> < 3-16> .

, < 3-15> , 1997 ~2009 ,

, 1.1%, 0.3%, 1.2% .

· 73 , 67 , 74 .

, , 76 ·

. 1990

. 1997

~2009 , 0

76 15.8%~22.4%(12

~17) .

〈표 3-15〉 사업체수 변화

	76 ·						0
				( )	( )		
(1997 ~2009)	-2.5%	1.4%	-0.7%	-0.6%	1.1%	73 .	15 .
(1997 ~2009)	-4.5%	7.3%	-1.0%	-0.8%	0.3%	67 .	12 .
(1997 ~2009)	-2.4%	1.5%	-0.7%	-0.6%	1.2%	74 .	17 .

, , 1997 ~2009

, , 1.7%,

-0.4%, 2.3% (< 3-16>).

76 68 73 .

· 36 76

. ,

· (-0.2%) (0.2%) (-0.4%) .

〈표 3-16〉 사업체 종사자수 변화

	76 ·			( )	( )		0
(1997 ~2009)	-6.1%	3.1%	0.3%	0.2%	1.7%	68 .	47 .
(1997 ~2009)	-8.2%	7.8%	-0.2%	0.2%	-0.4%	36 .	37 .
(1997 ~2009)	-5.8%	3.3%	0.4%	0.3%	2.3%	73 .	49 .

. ,

.

47 49 · ,

, 0 .

76 ·

. 1990

, ·

.

□ 낙후지역과의 연계가 비교적 높지 않은 기업투자의 가능성

.

,

.

.

, ,

76 · 71 1 (< 3-17>).

1 ,

,

.

1

.[11]

〈표 3-17〉 거주지 기준 취업자 대비 근무지 기준 취업자 비율

	76 ·			1
( )/ ( )	0.86	1.30	1.09	71 .
( )/ ( )	0.96	1.05	1.01	68 .
( )/ ( )	0.86	1.80	1.20	71 .
( )/ ( )	0.82	2.58	1.24	64 .

1) · 15 , 2005 10% ( ) ( )

2) 2010 10% ( ) 2005

< 3-17>

· 1 , ·

. < 3-1>

,

(< 1>).[12]

11) < 3-17> , 0.96 1.05 , 1.01 1 . 76 · 68 1 , .

< 3-18> T-test ,

4 76 · 92

.

.

〈표 3-18〉 T-test 분석: 거주지 기준 취업자 대비 근무지 기준 취업자 비율

			t-value
	(92)	(76)	
( )/ ( )	1.02	1.09	-3.746**
( )/ ( )	0.97	1.01	-3.324**
( )/ ( )	1.04	1.20	-5.404**
( )/ ( )	1.09	1.24	-2.448*

1) 7 · ,
, (區部) .
2
2) ** 1% ; * 5%

76 · ,

(+) (< 3-19>

).

12) 2005 10% (·) . ,
1.12 ,
14.9% .
, 84.9% .

.

13)

2 (+) .

〈표 3-19〉 상관분석: 취업자 비율과 사업체수 및 종사자수 변화

	(1997 ~2009)					
( )/ ( )	0.079	0.242*	0.001	0.309**	0.071	0.315**
( )/ ( )	0.143	0.193	0.100	0.322**	0.118	0.339**
( )/ ( )	-0.079	0.179	-0.159	0.241*	0.073	0.241*
( )/ ( )	0.128	0.269*	0.046	0.298**	0.192	0.258*

1) 76 ·

2) ** 1% ; * 5%

< 3-19> ,

, .

1 ,

,

.

13)

0.648 1% .

(5) 소결

. , 76

· 1995 ~2010 ,

· ,

. 1995 ~2010

, ·

.

,

.

·

.

, ·

·

, .

.

, 1997 ~2009 , ,

, , 76 ·

,

. 76

·

. 76

· 0

.

2.

1)

.[14)]

, 2009 .

. .

.

,

(

,) .

.

2) :

2 , "

" .

, , ,

.[15)]

---

14) 2009 4 ,
, . 「
」 , 「 」 , 「 」 , 「
」 ,
. 「 」 2008
.

15)
, . (2009) .
. (, 50
). 「 . 」 ,

. [16]

, , ,

, .[17]

, . .

,

.

. 200 .

, 2010 24 , · · ·

19 5

. 2011 22 (· 18 · ·

4) (< 3-20>). ,

.

< 3-20> < 3-21>

. . [18]

,

· (, 2009), 50
( ), (,)
.

16) · 2009 2010
. · . · · ‘
’, ‘ ’, .
‘ , .

17)
, .
.
11 , 2007
(, 2008).

18) · .
.

(< 3-21> < 3-22>).[19]

〈표 3-20〉 포괄보조 사업(지역개발계정)과 사업별 국비보조비율

	①	40%	
	②	50%	
	③	30%	
	④	50%	
	⑤	50%	
	⑥	50%	
	⑦	80%	
	⑧	50%	
·	⑨	80%	
	⑩ ·	50%	
	⑪	50%	
	⑫	60%	
	⑬	30~88%	
	⑭	70%	
	⑮	50%	
	⑯	50%	
	⑰	90%	
	⑱	100%	
	⑲ (2010년 종료)		
	ⓐ	100%	
	ⓑ	80%	
· ·	ⓒ	50%	
	ⓓ	70%	
	ⓔ (2011년부터 시·도 자율편성 사업인 ‘상수도 시설 확충 및 관리’로 통합)		

19) 2011 2~4 . ① , ② , ① , ② , ③ , ④ . , ① , ② , ③ , ④ .

〈표 3-21〉 포괄보조 사업(지역개발계정)의 내역사업

· ·		(2010)
		① ②
	*	① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩ ⑪ ⑫ ⑬ ⑭ ⑮
		① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩ ⑪ ⑫ ⑬ ⑭ ⑮
		① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩ ⑪ ⑫ ⑬ ⑭ ⑮ ⑯
		① ② ③ ④ ⑤ ⑥ ⑦ ⑧ ⑨ ⑩ ⑪ ⑫ ⑬ ⑭ ⑮

*

〈표 3-22〉 성장촉진지역 및 특수상황지역 개발의 내역사업 주요 내용

			SOC			
	○	○	○			
	○	○	○			
	○	○	○			
	○	○	○			
	○		○	○		
·	○	○				
		○				
	○	○				
	○		○			
	○	○		○	○	
	○	○		○	○	
	○	○		○		
	○	○		○		
	○			○	○	
				○	○	○
	○	○				

:

: (2008, p. 25)

,

, , 20), , ,

20) 「 」(,) 10 12 , 12 15 (,

. , , , ,
.
(, 2008).[21] , , ,
, , .
, ,
(, 2008). ,
,

(, 2008).
,
.
. < 3-20> , .

100% 80%

. 20%). — ① , , ,
1 , , , 1
30% ( ), ②
( ),
③
( ).
. ① , ②
. , ③ , , ④ ,
, ⑤ (14
7), .
20
2012 12 31 4 50% .
(「 」 64 61). , , 1996
7 43 24 2907 (, ,)
(, 2010).
21) , , ,
,
. .

. < 3-20> .

.

10%p .

3)

. ,

. .

. .

.

,

. .

.

. , ,

, ,

.

.

(「 」 20),[22]

.

22) 「 」 20 ,
, . .
. ,
.

(「 」 64 1 2).

. ,

. , ,

.

. .

,

,

. ,

. .

.

.

.

.

.

, , .

.

.

3.

1990 .

, .

76 .

,

, .

4

C · H · A · P · T · E · R · 4

낙후지역에서의 지역기반 사회적기업 육성을 위한 지원방안

제4장은 먼저 낙후지역에서의 지역기반 사회적기업을 예시적으로 논의한다. 그런 다음에 낙후지역에서 지역기반 사회적기업을 육성하기 위한 지원방안을 논의한다. 지원방안에 관한 논의는 지역기반 사회적기업 요건을 충족하는 사업조직에 대한 지원과 그것을 위한 재원확보와 제도적 정비, 그리고 낙후지역 발전을 위한 지역기반 사회적기업 육성의 저변 확충에 초점을 맞춘다.

1.

.

, ,

. .

.

.

.

2 < 2-2> ,

, , .

, .

.

(:)

, (:)

.

.

.

.

. . , ,

. ,

.

.23)

, ,

. ,

, ,

,

.24)

. , ,

.

,

23) ,

, .

24) ,

. , .

,

.

(, 2010).

.

.

, < 2-2>

.

,

.

. ,

.

,

.

,

,

.[25]

,

,

, , .

.

2.

25) , ,

.

,

.

, ,

.

,

,

.

,

. (2010)

‘ ’

. ‘ ’ (

25.2%, 17.0%) , ‘ ’

(13.0%, 10.4%).[26]

‘ ’ (

10.7%, 10.4%). ‘ ’

5 .

,

, (44.4%)

(, 2010).

.

,

. ,

‘ ’

(34.8%) ‘ ’

(17.2%) (, 2010) .

---

26) . , .

. 2008 10 139

, , .

(, 2010).

1)

,

. ‘ .

, ,

.

, .

. ,

. . ,

, ,

.

, , .

, , (< 4-1>).

,

, , 2

8000 5000 .

, . ,

, ,

. ,

, .

, .

,

. ,

. , 27) .

,

,

20% (「 」 9 1 3).

.

〈표 4-1〉 사회적기업에 대한 지원

	4 3 (, 2) (, → 1 10%, 2 20%, 3 30%)
	3 (50 2) 1 150 3 (, → 1 10%, 2 20%, 3 30%)
	2011 , , 2 (50 1) 1 150 (, → 10%)
.	「 」 . . , 2 , 5~6 , 4.5%
	. 2011 100
	① → 300 , 3 500 (:) ② 5 1 , 2 → 2000 , 3 3000 (: 300 1000 10%, 1000 20%) ③ → 1000 , 3 2000 (: 300 10%)

27)

, .

( )

	R&D, · , , → 7000 , 3000 .
	(, 5 , 5) 4 50%
	4 4
	2011 30% 10%
	,
	, . , (, ,)

: (2010, p.29); (2011);
(www.socialenterprise.go.kr)

,

. ,

(

)

.28)

.

28) < 4-1> , ,

.

, .

2)

(1) 인적자원 측면

(:

)

3

(, 2010).[29]

,

,

. , .

, , ,

, ,

,

.

,

, . .

.

.[30]

,

. (North Dakota)

,

.

, (Project Back-Home Cooperative program)

1994

(Reeder, 1998).

, ,

,

,

---

29) .

(, 2010).

30) Brown & Glasgow(2008) , , .

.

.

Glasgow & Arguillas(2008) ,

.

(Brown & Glasgow, 2008). DB

,

.

,

.

,

.

,

,

. .

, (:

) .

76 .

1 (< 3-17>) .[31]

,

,

. .

,

, ,

.

.

. ,

31) 10% (·) ,

.

< 1> .

. .

(2) 시장수요 측면

.

.

,

,

,

. , ,

, .

,

, < 4-1>

.

.

.

. .

. , ,

. , ,

, , .

.

. ,

.

「 」

. 「 」

,

,

.32)

, .

. . .

.

. ,

(Borzaga & Tortia, 2009).

(3) 자금공급 측면

. < 4-1> , 「

」 2008

.

,33) . 2011 6

89 11

(

32) , , . .
(「 」 2 4). 「 」
16 ,
.

33) , , ,
, . .
. , (www.smilemicrobank.or.kr).

, 2011).

,

. ,

.

, ,

, .

.

. ,

,

.

,

. ,

CDFI(Community Development Financial Institution)

CITR(Community Investment Tax Relief) .

CDFI ·

(,)

. CDFI ·

, 5% · 5 .

CITR , 2002 .

CDFI CITR ,

. , CDFI

, CDFI · CITR

CDFI (, 2011b).

CITR

CDFI (, 2011a).

(4) 중간지원조직의 활성화

.

.

. , , , ,

NGO NPO, .

.

, (2010) ,

. 「 」 ,

.

,

.[34] (2010) ,

, ,

. [35]

(, 2010).

,

.

---

34) .

, 「 」 2 (「 」 18

3)

C · H · A · P · T · E · R · 5

5 결 론

제5장은 본 연구의 결론으로서 제2장에서 제4장까지 다룬 내용을 요약하여 정리하고, 본 연구가 가질 수 있는 정책적 시사점 및 활용효과를 기술한다. 마지막으로 본 연구가 지닌 한계, 그리고 그에 따른 향후 연구과제도 제시한다.

1.

,

. ,

.

.

, , . ,

.

,

.

,

,

2.

, .

.

,

.

, ,

.

,

. . ,

.

3.

. .

,

, . .

.

, .

. ,

, . . .

. ,

,

. , .

,

R · E · F · E · R · E · N · C · E

참 고 문 헌

고용노동부. 2011.「 」 (2011 6 9).

국토해양부. 2010.「2010 」. .

김민재. 2008. “ ”.「 」 18 . .

김정원. 2009.「 ?」. .

_____. 2010.「 」. .

김창규. 2010.「 」. .

농림수산식품부. 2010. , (2010 4 14).

농림수산식품부·고용노동부. 2010. , !: – MOU (· 2010 10 26).

박용규·최숙희·주영민. 2009.「 」. .

변필성. 2011a. “ ”.「 」 355 : pp. 134-145.

_____. 2011b. “ · : Community Investment Tax Relief Community Development Finance Institution ( )”.「 」 352 : pp. 64-72.

서정민. 2011. (( ) 2 ; http://www.krdf.or.kr).

오내원·마상진·김광선·김창호·권인혜. 2010.「
(1/2)」. .
유정규. 2011. “ ”.「 」7·8 (21): pp. 19-20.
원응호. 2009.「 : 」. .
이은애. 2010. (2 ;
http://www.bdi.re.kr).
정선희. 2007.「 」. .
지경배·김진 . 2010.「 」. .
지역발전위원회. 2009.「 」. .
행정안전부. 2010. , : 2013 ‘
’ 1840 (2010 7 16).
_________. 2011a. 「 」500 : 2013 1,000
, 1 (2011 1 31).
_________. 2011b. 2011 「 」 .
_________. 2011c. 2011 「 」 .

Borzaga & Tortia. 2009. Social Enterprises and Local Economic Development. Antonella Noya (ed). *The Changing Boundaries of Social Enterprises*. OECD Local Economic and Employment Development: pp. 195-228.

Brown, David L. & Nina Glasgow (eds). 2008. *Rural Retirement Migration*. Springer.

Defourney, Jacques & Marthe Nyssens. 2008. Social Enterprise in Europe: Introduction to an Update. in Jacques Defourney & Marthe Nyssens (eds). *Social Enterprise in Europe: Recent Trends and Developments*. EMES European Research Network Working Paper no. 08/01: pp. 4-12.

Glasgow, Nina & Marie-Joy Arguillas. 2008. Social Integration and Health of Older In-Migration to Rural Retirement Destinations in David L. Brown & Nina Glasgow (eds). *Rural Retirement Migration*. Springer: pp. 117-140.

Haugh, Helen. 2005. The role of social enterprise in regional development. *International Journal Entrepreneurship and Small Business*. 2(4): pp. 346-357.

Nichols, Alex. 2010. Institutionalizing social entrepreneurship in regulatory space: Reporting and disclosure by community interest companies. *Accounting, Organization and Society* 35: pp. 394-415.

Reeder, Richard J. 1998. Retiree-Attraction Policies for Rural Development. *Agriculture Information Bulletin* No. 741. Food and Rural Economy Division. Economic Research Service. U.S. Department of Agriculture.

Taylor, Jim & Colin Wren. 1997. UK Regional Policy: An evaluation. *Regional Studies* 31(9): pp. 835-838.

The Regulator of Community Interest Companies. 2010. *Information Pack: Community Interest Companies. Department for Business Innovation and Skills*(www.cicregulator.gov.uk/guidanceindex.shtml).

Young, Dennis R. 2006. Social enterprise in community and economic development in the USA: theory, corporate form and purpose. *International Journal Entrepreneurship and Innovation Management* 6(4): pp. 241-255.

: www.smilemicrobank.or.kr

CIC Guidance Pages: www.cicregulator.gov.uk/guidanceindex.shtml

: www.socialenterprise.go.kr

S · U · M · M · A · R · Y

SUMMARY

Development of Depressed Areas via the Growth of Local Community-based Social Enterprises

Pillsung Byun & Youngmo Yoon

This study presents that growth of local community-based social enterprises(hereafter, LCSEs) is needed for the development of depressed areas in Korea, and it discusses how the LCSEs' growth should be supported in such areas. The study has the following three main parts.

First, our research undertakes the conceptualization of the LCSEs, which is based on a social enterprise's potential of contributing to local development. According to the conceptualization, the LCSEs are the social enterprises or small/medium-sized firms that not only care for disadvantaged people in various ways but also pursue the development of local communities. The LCSEs invest in and run their profit-making business projects by using local resources. Through such business projects and the resultant profits, the LCSE create jobs to the disadvantaged or non-disadvantaged residents in local communities, or provide social services to the residents for improving qualities of their lives. Additionally, we illustrates the LCSEs that could potentially operate their own business projects in depressed localities.

Second, this work examines the Korean government's development policy of disadvantaged areas, and it analyses the demographic/economic status and shifts in the depressed localities across Korea. Importantly, the examination and analysis puts emphasis on the need of the LCSEs' growth for proceeding the development of such disadvantaged areas. Concretely, our analysis finds that the business investment in the Korean depressed localities tends to become sluggish and the employment creation by the investment to the local economies could potentially be limited. Moreover, the depressed localities are likely faced with the increase in the disadvantaged residents suffering from old age or experiencing the underemployment/unemployment related to old age, in the vicious cycle among local economic downturn, slowdown in business investment, population decrease, and accelerated population aging. Given this situation, the disadvantaged areas likely have to confront such challenges as creation of employment opportunities and provision of social services. However, the Korean government's development policy of disadvantaged localities has the difficulties in effectively tackling the problematic situation and challenges to which such localities potentially are exposed.

Finally, this research discusses how the LCSEs' growth should be supported for the development of depressed areas in Korea. Our discussion has the two parts. One deals with the support for the business establishments or units that function as the LCSEs in disadvantaged areas, and the issues of financing the support and improving relevant institutions. The other concerns the expansion or reinforcement of the foundation on which the LCSEs' growth can effectively be sought in the Korean depressed localities. The foundation encompasses human resources, creation of market demand, channels of financial resources, business services, and other software infrastructure, all of which are needed for the growth of the LCSEs as firms.

■ Key words _ Local Community-based Social Enterprise, Depressed Area

A · P · P · E · N · D · I · X

부 록

1. ·

〈부표 1〉 낙후지역 시·군으로 통근하는 지역외부 취업자의 비중과 주요 거주지역

·			
	1.10	20.0%	(28.9%), (16.0%), (16.0%)
	0.86	4.9%	(21.2%), (12.0%), (10.6%)
	1.06	12.6%	(63.4%), (18.4%),
	1.19	21.2%	(79.1%)
	1.11	13.9%	(61.1%), (15.6%)
	1.12	11.6%	(42.7%), (26.0%)
	1.19	17.8%	(64.2%)
	1.03	7.3%	(24.5%), (20.3%), (15.3%)
	1.13	13.9%	(83.5%)
	1.04	5.3%	(46.3%), (24.0%)
	1.04	5.6%	(23.7%), (16.0%), (11.7%)
( )	1.15	20.1%	(86.5%)
	1.13	18.6%	(67.5%), (24.5%)

( )

.			
	1.13	13.2%	(62.1%), (12.1%)
	1.12	19.2%	(78.3%)
	1.05	7.1%	(50.3%), (15.2%), (12.4%)
	1.14	16.2%	(40.8%), (27.5%)
	1.20	20.4%	(77.8%)
	1.25	22.6%	(88.1%)
	1.04	7.8%	(39.9%), (30.8%)
	1.06	9.4%	(51.8%)
	1.06	9.5%	(28.0%), (13.5%), (13.3%), (13.3%)
	1.03	8.9%	(26.3%), (16.4%), (12.3%)
	1.05	9.3%	(56.3%), (10.3%)
	1.02	6.4%	(57.7%)
	1.11	18.2%	(61.0%), (21.7%)
	1.16	15.8%	(83.2%)
	1.09	8.7%	(32.9%), (25.2%)
	1.10	10.8%	(68.2%), (12.9%)
	1.21	19.8%	(82.6%)
	1.09	11.2%	(36.4%), (36.2%)
	1.05	7.2%	(30.0%), (25.3%), (13.9%)
	1.07	9.8%	(48.8%), (16.1%)
	1.17	21.9%	(90.5%)
	1.20	26.7%	(95.0%)
	1.25	21.7%	(89.1%)
	1.07	8.2%	(40.7%), (32.8%)
	1.03	3.7%	(63.2%), (23.1%)
	1.09	9.8%	(44.1%), (33.2%)

( )

·			
	1.03	20.5%	(94.8%)
	1.04	5.9%	(56.3%), (12.5%)
	1.04	6.8%	(55.5%), (16.8%)
	1.05	5.6%	(51.1%), (23.4%)
	1.28	25.9%	(64.1%), (27.9%)
	1.13	14.8%	(71.8%)
	1.07	8.5%	(82.8%)
	1.21	25.0%	(94.1%)
	1.03	2.8%	(43.3%), (15.3%)
	1.04	3.8%	(56.8%), (27.7%)
	1.05	4.5%	(86.9%)
	0.97	3.7%	(38.0%), (14.9%)
	1.11	16.3%	(49.4%), (32.8%)
	1.02	4.7%	(32.7%), (19.6%), (14.1%)
	0.99	4.1%	(41.6%), (14.6%)
	1.12	14.6%	(73.4%)
	1.06	6.9%	(45.7%), (37.2%)
	1.10	10.1%	(65.5%), (14.6%)
	1.03	4.0%	(51.2%), (14.5%)
	1.04	6.4%	(58.0%), (15.9%)
	1.09	12.4%	(59.8%), (30.3%)
	1.30	28.8%	(92.1%)
	1.23	22.3%	(83.1%)
	1.05	7.0%	(28.5%), (26.8%), (20.3%)
	1.09	9.9%	(68.1%), (17.5%)
	1.02	3.6%	(18.5%), (15.4%), (14.6%)
	1.00	0%	

( )

.			
	1.03	8.3%	(37.0%), (17.9%), (16.0%)
	1.12	14.3%	(29.4%), (22.1%), (13.7%)
	1.08	11.6%	(35.5%), (21.1%), (15.8%)
( )	1.09	14.8%	(26.9%), (21.5%), (20.0%)
	1.03	3.9%	(46.4%), (32.2%)
	1.11	12.0%	(60.7%), (15.2%)
	1.12	14.9%	(84.9%)
	1.06	6.6%	(31.8%), (24.6%)
	0.99	2.1%	(22.5%), (16.2%)
	1.04	5.7%	(38.5%), (36.6%)

1) 0

2) .

3) .

4)

: (2005) 10% (·) .
2005 (2010) 10%
, 2005 2010

〈부표 1〉 거주지 기준 취업자 대비 근무지 기준 취업자 비율(2005년)



· : 1.0 · (76 ·) : 1.09

〈부표 2〉 거주지 기준 취업자 대비 근무지 기준 취업자 비율(2005년)

	1.00		1.00		1.06
	1.09		1.05		1.06
	1.14		1.02		1.03
	0.95		1.13		1.05
	1.23		1.02		1.02
	0.92		1.07		1.11
	1.08		1.12		1.16
	0.90		1.13		1.09
	1.08		1.03		1.10
	1.19		1.05		1.21
	0.93		1.07		1.09
	0.94		0.87		1.05
	1.00		1.02		1.07
	1.20		0.98		1.17
	0.81		1.27		1.20
	0.81		0.82		1.25
	0.67		1.03		1.07
	0.80		0.88		1.03
	0.78		1.16		1.09
	0.60		1.36		1.03
	1.08		1.02		1.04
	0.84		1.05		1.04
	0.96		0.97		1.05
	0.77		0.97		1.28
	1.40		1.17		1.13
	0.80		1.08		1.07
	0.70		1.02		1.21
	0.76		0.92		1.03
	1.06		0.96		1.04
	0.73		1.03		1.05
	0.64		1.02		0.97
	0.96		1.06		1.11
	0.84		1.19		1.02
	1.06		1.01		0.99
	1.06		1.28		1.12
	1.03		0.98		1.06
	1.16		1.04		1.10
	1.52		1.10		1.03
	1.04		0.86		1.04
	1.04		1.06		1.09
	1.31		1.19		1.30
	1.03		1.11		1.23
	1.15		1.12		1.05
	1.01		1.19		1.09
	0.96		1.03		1.02
	0.97		1.13		1.00
	0.99		1.04		1.03
	0.94		1.04		1.12
	0.92	( )	1.15		1.08
	1.09		1.13	( )	1.09
	0.84		1.13		1.03
	0.98		1.12		1.11
	0.95		1.05		1.12
	1.44		1.14		1.06
	1.34		1.20		0.99
	1.23		1.25		1.04
	1.05		1.04		

: 76 · .

〈부도 2〉 연평균 인구 증가율(1995년~2010년)



· : 0.6% · (76 ·) : -1.9%

〈부표 3〉 연평균 인구 증가율(1995년~2010년)

	0.6%		3.76%		-2.56%
	-1.9%		-0.48%		-2.02%
	-0.29%		-1.50%		-1.67%
( )	-0.80%		4.00%		-1.53%
	2.11%		1.02%		-1.81%
( )	-0.16%		-1.37%		-2.15%
	2.49%		6.79%		-2.96%
( )	1.00%		0.05%		-1.97%
	-0.89%		-1.13%		-2.14%
	0.95%		-0.99%		-2.97%
	1.07%		1.37%		-2.22%
	1.11%		0.96%		-2.40%
( )	0.46%		-0.15%		-2.34%
	1.62%		-0.57%		-2.08%
	2.36%		0.17%		-2.61%
	0.59%		0.07%		-2.69%
	2.79%		-1.01%		-2.79%
	0.12%		0.25%		-3.05%
	0.60%		0.81%		-3.22%
	-0.43%		0.40%		-0.17%
	1.45%		0.03%		-2.49%
	1.67%		-0.45%		-2.39%
	2.40%		-0.93%		-2.47%
	3.79%		-0.83%		0.07%
	-0.14%		1.73%		-2.92%
	1.79%		2.88%		-2.27%
	5.75%		2.13%		-2.01%
	6.60%		0.16%		-2.15%
	7.72%		-0.12%		-2.59%
	1.12%		-0.36%		-4.12%
	1.91%		4.48%		-1.23%
	1.22%		3.04%		-1.16%
	8.77%		2.95%		-1.56%
	4.76%		0.06%		-1.91%
	1.53%		0.05%		-2.60%
	2.72%		0.97%		-2.71%
	5.02%		-1.26%		-2.49%
	7.79%		-1.46%		-2.40%
	6.73%		-0.94%		-2.26%
	4.69%		-1.44%		-1.81%
	1.16%		-0.84%		0.03%
	0.63%		-2.04%		-1.76%
	0.17%		-1.23%		-2.46%
	1.07%		-2.65%		-2.10%
	1.10%		-0.92%		-2.07%
	1.82%		-0.86%		-2.47%
	-0.06%		-1.20%		-1.35%
	-0.35%		-0.88%		-2.54%
	-0.10%	( )	-2.07%		-1.69%
	-0.51%		-0.67%	( )	-1.04%
	1.53%		-2.22%		-2.08%
	-0.07%		-1.40%		-1.85%
	-0.12%		-1.94%		-2.04%
	1.67%		-2.06%		-1.09%
	1.11%		-2.32%		-1.33%
	0.48%		-1.20%		-2.28%
	0.10%		-2.20%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 3〉 65세 이상 인구비율 증가량(1995년~2010년)



· : 5.4%p · (76 ·) : 15.1%p

〈부표 4〉 65세 이상 인구비율 증가량(1995년~2010년)

	5.4%p		2.08%p		16.92%p
	15.1%p		8.25%p		17.05%p
	5.43%p		10.73%p		13.16%p
( )	6.87%p		1.19%p		11.44%p
	5.46%p		6.20%p		11.73%p
( )	5.22%p		10.35%p		14.33%p
	3.21%p		1.74%p		18.61%p
( )	3.97%p		5.65%p		16.81%p
	13.75%p		11.03%p		16.34%p
	5.62%p		13.33%p		19.94%p
	4.46%p		5.81%p		17.81%p
	4.26%p		4.81%p		17.45%p
( )	1.88%p		5.93%p		15.83%p
	2.58%p		6.20%p		14.01%p
	3.47%p		7.45%p		16.53%p
	4.69%p		5.51%p		18.32%p
	5.79%p		6.79%p		18.48%p
	4.03%p		4.85%p		21.24%p
	3.90%p		3.69%p		20.35%p
	4.06%p		8.63%p		10.12%p
	4.45%p		5.22%p		17.43%p
	7.81%p		7.58%p		16.66%p
	3.58%p		9.46%p		16.97%p
	4.54%p		8.69%p		8.26%p
	3.41%p		1.90%p		19.53%p
	3.89%p		4.27%p		15.56%p
	3.68%p		3.03%p		15.39%p
	2.20%p		5.87%p		18.67%p
	1.71%p		5.56%p		19.82%p
	4.17%p		7.70%p		24.90%p
	4.03%p		2.27%p		10.45%p
	4.76%p		0.49%p		12.42%p
	3.83%p		4.41%p		12.52%p
	3.14%p		4.35%p		14.00%p
	4.43%p		7.81%p		21.06%p
	3.95%p		4.97%p		20.63%p
	3.45%p		10.05%p		19.10%p
	-0.86%p		11.98%p		19.64%p
	2.80%p		10.11%p		17.69%p
	4.67%p		10.20%p		16.85%p
	6.18%p		12.09%p		10.53%p
	7.38%p		13.80%p		13.83%p
	9.75%p		11.81%p		17.15%p
	8.89%p		12.32%p		16.79%p
	5.79%p		9.12%p		12.94%p
	4.66%p		9.59%p		11.28%p
	7.05%p		9.91%p		11.23%p
	7.48%p		8.33%p		19.67%p
	7.16%p	( )	12.27%p		15.13%p
	10.58%p		12.02%p	( )	10.94%p
	3.80%p		16.59%p		15.94%p
	7.31%p		11.80%p		15.64%p
	8.03%p		13.75%p		18.05%p
	3.95%p		17.26%p		14.51%p
	5.65%p		14.45%p		11.40%p
	6.38%p		12.50%p		20.57%p
	6.24%p		15.56%p		

: 76 · .

〈부도 4〉 65세 이상 인구비율(2010년)



· : 11.3% · (76 ·) : 28.8%

〈부표 5〉 65세 이상 인구비율(2010년)

	11.3%		7.86%		30.74%
	28.8%		19.21%		32.65%
	9.64%		20.16%		24.85%
( )	11.33%		10.00%		23.62%
	13.54%		14.80%		23.56%
( )	9.64%		21.39%		27.91%
	10.21%		6.99%		36.17%
( )	8.11%		16.45%		31.54%
	27.41%		22.10%		32.61%
	22.46%		23.98%		37.71%
	9.08%		16.63%		35.38%
	8.79%		9.77%		31.88%
( )	5.21%		12.88%		29.67%
	10.35%		13.44%		27.71%
	7.04%		19.43%		30.25%
	8.64%		10.65%		34.73%
	10.05%		13.41%		33.09%
	8.01%		12.09%		38.19%
	7.57%		9.81%		36.48%
	8.19%		22.85%		23.84%
	10.29%		10.43%		33.58%
	14.13%		16.43%		32.40%
	6.55%		20.10%		30.04%
	9.40%		19.35%		21.09%
	9.25%		6.07%		35.75%
	8.06%		11.05%		27.89%
	9.48%		11.83%		29.82%
	6.09%		12.02%		31.00%
	6.25%		12.91%		33.71%
	7.87%		17.03%		37.08%
	8.39%		7.54%		20.45%
	9.59%		7.08%		23.71%
	8.97%		9.14%		26.70%
	11.20%		8.31%		25.78%
	10.62%		20.68%		39.43%
	12.75%		11.25%		38.54%
	10.65%		17.70%		33.32%
	7.65%		21.20%		35.28%
	9.28%		15.62%		33.46%
	10.87%		19.43%		33.63%
	14.05%		24.91%		26.15%
	16.50%		24.97%		29.59%
	21.02%		22.14%		34.64%
	21.24%		21.20%		33.01%
	12.90%		17.93%		25.97%
	11.33%		19.10%		20.93%
	14.54%		18.92%		22.59%
	14.27%		17.38%		36.64%
	13.37%	( )	22.79%		29.45%
	21.10%		23.45%	( )	25.76%
	8.15%		32.62%		34.55%
	15.13%		24.77%		31.85%
	15.76%		27.16%		33.77%
	15.58%		33.53%		31.03%
	16.53%		25.57%		24.82%
	17.09%		25.48%		37.33%
	14.07%		29.34%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 5〉 15세~64세 인구비율 증가량(1995년~2010년)



· : 1.4%p · (76 ·) : -8.5%p

〈부표 6〉 15세~64세 인구비율 증가량(1995년~2010년)

	1.4%p		3.98%p		-10.00%p
	-8.5%p		-1.02%p		-9.62%p
	1.70%p		-5.04%p		-6.52%p
( )	-0.86%p		0.24%p		-6.71%p
	0.13%p		-1.52%p		-6.83%p
( )	-3.97%p		-4.50%p		-8.17%p
	2.22%p		5.81%p		-12.05%p
( )	2.94%p		-0.94%p		-10.77%p
	-6.67%p		-5.37%p		-9.55%p
	-0.76%p		-5.39%p		-12.16%p
	1.87%p		-1.66%p		-12.77%p
	2.96%p		1.27%p		-10.31%p
( )	-8.84%p		-0.51%p		-8.69%p
	2.40%p		0.69%p		-8.32%p
	4.34%p		-5.60%p		-11.00%p
	4.72%p		0.58%p		-14.06%p
	2.56%p		1.05%p		-13.21%p
	5.52%p		1.01%p		-13.66%p
	7.25%p		4.33%p		-14.31%p
	4.59%p		-4.85%p		-8.35%p
	0.48%p		3.85%p		-9.95%p
	-2.46%p		-1.55%p		-8.79%p
	7.53%p		-3.44%p		-10.38%p
	4.65%p		-3.05%p		-5.27%p
	4.31%p		4.73%p		-14.17%p
	4.30%p		2.10%p		-8.60%p
	2.18%p		0.55%p		-9.95%p
	2.45%p		0.30%p		-11.44%p
	7.60%p		0.89%p		-13.19%p
	5.65%p		-2.79%p		-16.12%p
	6.84%p		3.55%p		-3.93%p
	4.93%p		5.65%p		-5.68%p
	0.32%p		3.60%p		-6.68%p
	-0.42%p		4.22%p		-7.62%p
	0.77%p		-4.38%p		-12.49%p
	0.25%p		-0.56%p		-11.94%p
	1.20%p		-5.57%p		-10.02%p
	-1.70%p		-4.20%p		-12.12%p
	3.33%p		-2.56%p		-11.19%p
	0.27%p		-3.23%p		-9.52%p
	0.84%p		-4.97%p		-5.72%p
	-1.00%p		-5.67%p		-6.05%p
	-2.35%p		-5.57%p		-9.64%p
	-2.24%p		-3.04%p		-8.52%p
	-0.86%p		-2.80%p		-7.15%p
	0.76%p		-1.59%p		-2.96%p
	-0.95%p		-3.39%p		-4.48%p
	-1.18%p		-1.41%p		-15.75%p
	-1.56%p	( )	-3.72%p		-8.38%p
	-3.21%p		-5.00%p	( )	-4.89%p
	2.96%p		-9.07%p		-9.29%p
	-0.30%p		-6.58%p		-8.88%p
	-0.05%p		-7.57%p		-13.53%p
	-1.68%p		-8.90%p		-9.39%p
	-0.77%p		-6.21%p		-6.97%p
	-0.93%p		-6.79%p		-14.55%p
	0.74%p		-7.85%p		

: 76 · .

〈부도 6〉 15세~64세 인구비율(2010년)



· : 72.5% · (76 ·) : 58.8%

〈부표 7〉 15세~64세 인구비율(2010년)

	72.5%		73.69%		57.44%
	58.8%		67.46%		56.10%
	76.28%		64.60%		62.09%
( )	72.75%		71.51%		60.77%
	69.66%		66.83%		60.42%
( )	68.83%		64.30%		59.43%
	70.72%		69.85%		52.47%
( )	72.90%		68.71%		55.98%
	61.06%		63.55%		54.70%
	65.75%		63.49%		51.26%
	72.56%		66.90%		51.65%
	73.98%		72.24%		55.82%
( )	62.75%		70.01%		57.59%
	70.06%		70.06%		59.40%
	75.16%		64.54%		59.08%
	75.60%		70.74%		53.84%
	72.72%		69.27%		54.38%
	75.53%		69.18%		51.32%
	76.27%		69.87%		51.76%
	74.36%		61.45%		59.16%
	70.60%		73.61%		53.39%
	68.81%		68.69%		55.09%
	75.10%		65.74%		56.52%
	72.65%		66.66%		62.63%
	72.77%		74.32%		53.12%
	74.04%		74.03%		57.98%
	70.52%		69.42%		57.06%
	73.12%		71.69%		56.08%
	73.75%		70.23%		53.67%
	74.00%		66.38%		54.58%
	74.39%		71.48%		65.50%
	74.38%		72.44%		63.88%
	70.52%		71.81%		60.85%
	69.60%		74.52%		61.31%
	70.57%		63.46%		52.91%
	70.38%		69.24%		53.45%
	70.58%		64.52%		57.02%
	69.20%		64.95%		55.04%
	72.11%		69.39%		56.33%
	69.55%		66.98%		57.49%
	69.26%		62.19%		61.50%
	67.30%		62.38%		59.92%
	64.79%		63.83%		55.38%
	65.06%		65.50%		56.72%
	71.30%		65.28%		59.87%
	71.10%		65.51%		67.22%
	70.44%		64.51%		64.24%
	68.92%		65.72%		53.13%
	69.61%	( )	65.78%		59.20%
	64.12%		64.03%	( )	62.00%
	73.87%		55.66%		54.77%
	69.26%		61.61%		55.87%
	69.15%		60.77%		55.88%
	67.19%		56.55%		56.14%
	66.21%		61.77%		60.76%
	66.36%		62.02%		52.33%
	67.96%		58.10%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 7〉 20세~49세 인구비율 증가량(1995년~2010년)



· : -3.1%p · (76 ·) : -7.2%p

〈부표 8〉 20세~49세 인구비율 증가량(1995년~2010년)

	-3.1%p		1.76%p		-9.59%p
	-7.2%p		-1.96%p		-6.80%p
	-3.56%p		-6.34%p		-6.35%p
( )	-7.91%p		5.06%p		-4.67%p
	-3.97%p		-1.22%p		-6.24%p
( )	-8.35%p		-5.39%p		-7.24%p
	-1.96%p		-0.06%p		-7.54%p
( )	-4.58%p		-0.45%p		-7.88%p
	-8.56%p		-4.86%p		-6.84%p
	-1.51%p		-6.26%p		-8.84%p
	-2.22%p		1.53%p		-6.93%p
	-2.50%p		-2.99%p		-7.26%p
( )	-12.61%p		-3.86%p		-7.26%p
	-3.83%p		-3.74%p		-6.07%p
	-1.97%p		-3.17%p		-10.13%p
	-2.26%p		-1.91%p		-9.40%p
	-5.21%p		-5.10%p		-9.92%p
	-3.50%p		-2.29%p		-11.20%p
	-3.14%p		-2.53%p		-10.23%p
	-3.40%p		3.85%p		-2.58%p
	-2.53%p		-5.00%p		-5.87%p
	-5.72%p		-5.13%p		-7.31%p
	-3.36%p		-5.39%p		-7.73%p
	-2.90%p		-3.48%p		-0.31%p
	-5.73%p		0.67%p		-8.82%p
	-2.89%p		-0.76%p		-7.33%p
	-2.83%p		2.48%p		-5.75%p
	1.96%p		-3.31%p		-7.95%p
	1.16%p		-2.70%p		-7.65%p
	-2.70%p		-3.69%p		-12.94%p
	-4.15%p		-1.11%p		-6.32%p
	-4.34%p		2.36%p		-5.75%p
	-2.56%p		-3.85%p		-6.05%p
	0.42%p		-4.20%p		-7.47%p
	-0.68%p		-3.11%p		-11.16%p
	1.23%p		-3.59%p		-11.12%p
	-2.70%p		-8.51%p		-10.13%p
	3.78%p		-7.24%p		-9.93%p
	-1.42%p		-4.43%p		-8.48%p
	-3.89%p		-5.29%p		-8.49%p
	-3.12%p		-7.50%p		-3.54%p
	-2.89%p		-7.45%p		-9.24%p
	-6.12%p		-7.60%p		-7.48%p
	-4.61%p		-4.65%p		-6.45%p
	-2.78%p		-3.45%p		-6.55%p
	-1.80%p		-0.15%p		-8.87%p
	-4.73%p		-5.39%p		-6.96%p
	-5.32%p		-2.78%p		-11.06%p
	-7.24%p	( )	-6.84%p		-6.82%p
	-4.73%p		-4.67%p	( )	-4.00%p
	-1.67%p		-8.73%p		-7.66%p
	-3.51%p		-5.56%p		-7.43%p
	-4.78%p		-6.25%p		-10.73%p
	1.95%p		-9.28%p		-4.48%p
	0.58%p		-9.32%p		-4.83%p
	-1.41%p		-5.40%p		-9.68%p
	-1.82%p		-7.68%p		

: 76 · .

〈부도 8〉 20세~49세 인구비율(2010년)



· : 47.1% · (76 ·) : 30.4%

〈부표 9〉 20세~49세 인구비율(2010년)

	47.1%		51.83%		27.85%
	30.4%		38.46%		27.58%
	50.60%		36.75%		32.90%
( )	44.09%		51.02%		34.07%
	43.87%		43.07%		33.75%
( )	43.83%		35.51%		31.34%
	47.03%		48.18%		25.24%
( )	48.63%		43.42%		28.69%
	30.75%		35.07%		26.14%
	38.38%		33.25%		24.21%
	48.04%		42.58%		24.90%
	48.99%		46.40%		26.54%
( )	41.12%		43.97%		28.80%
	46.37%		43.37%		31.46%
	52.50%		39.26%		29.85%
	51.00%		45.52%		25.85%
	47.88%		41.74%		26.56%
	49.89%		43.54%		22.23%
	50.93%		46.34%		23.87%
	50.26%		38.09%		35.39%
	48.00%		45.57%		25.93%
	44.18%		41.65%		25.77%
	52.29%		37.55%		28.47%
	49.29%		38.51%		36.72%
	47.63%		53.65%		24.73%
	50.14%		48.96%		29.77%
	47.68%		49.56%		30.70%
	54.82%		44.73%		28.01%
	53.42%		43.81%		26.17%
	50.86%		40.72%		24.36%
	48.92%		49.33%		35.65%
	47.77%		51.79%		35.91%
	49.16%		48.16%		31.96%
	47.94%		48.59%		31.98%
	48.04%		37.12%		22.75%
	47.09%		45.38%		22.42%
	46.64%		38.25%		27.30%
	51.15%		37.53%		24.99%
	47.56%		40.86%		27.31%
	46.39%		37.78%		25.99%
	43.49%		32.20%		34.18%
	41.06%		31.94%		29.08%
	36.35%		35.10%		25.87%
	35.57%		36.61%		28.09%
	45.77%		40.18%		33.46%
	46.63%		44.30%		36.23%
	42.49%		40.56%		34.51%
	41.83%		41.84%		25.76%
	42.70%	( )	36.21%		29.94%
	36.76%		35.38%	( )	33.75%
	49.73%		26.71%		25.42%
	42.56%		33.71%		27.96%
	40.52%		32.51%		26.20%
	43.85%		26.07%		29.06%
	43.21%		31.84%		32.33%
	41.86%		33.54%		24.69%
	43.95%		28.38%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 9〉 노령화지수 증가량(1995년~2010년)

· : 43.9%p · (76 ·) : 170.8%p

〈부표 10〉 노령화지수 증가량(1995년~2010년)

	43.9%p		19.01%p		186.49%p
	170.8%p		90.89%p		206.80%p
	48.64%p		87.22%p		130.93%p
( )	50.87%p		9.81%p		91.41%p
	44.53%p		43.28%p		90.51%p
( )	25.36%p		94.66%p		148.30%p
	24.99%p		13.10%p		220.28%p
( )	26.73%p		55.62%p		173.21%p
	164.43%p		98.68%p		173.52%p
	89.44%p		139.25%p		247.59%p
	30.73%p		48.53%p		175.19%p
	32.51%p		33.70%p		184.99%p
( )	2.99%p		44.42%p		163.40%p
	21.22%p		50.50%p		141.23%p
	25.63%p		54.18%p		198.86%p
	39.09%p		36.44%p		199.11%p
	41.66%p		51.14%p		181.98%p
	33.38%p		35.11%p		270.34%p
	33.42%p		26.69%p		219.54%p
	31.09%p		72.58%p		67.20%p
	29.54%p		44.55%p		178.97%p
	54.66%p		68.08%p		181.90%p
	25.62%p		89.23%p		158.19%p
	34.48%p		84.04%p		62.90%p
	28.72%p		15.08%p		222.86%p
	29.06%p		42.19%p		138.98%p
	24.96%p		23.70%p		149.57%p
	13.99%p		46.38%p		178.80%p
	15.76%p		45.05%p		195.13%p
	30.17%p		59.28%p		373.46%p
	33.18%p		16.30%p		96.92%p
	41.08%p		9.83%p		132.11%p
	22.86%p		30.50%p		136.99%p
	21.55%p		33.00%p		138.68%p
	30.67%p		63.66%p		401.72%p
	33.79%p		31.40%p		373.59%p
	25.99%p		65.15%p		268.96%p
	-8.26%p		110.52%p		273.21%p
	23.68%p		79.77%p		233.24%p
	30.21%p		98.07%p		275.31%p
	50.97%p		129.14%p		120.81%p
	61.48%p		143.60%p		195.64%p
	95.98%p		106.85%p		247.00%p
	94.35%p		120.12%p		234.17%p
	47.32%p		68.64%p		118.08%p
	35.47%p		83.48%p		128.70%p
	61.37%p		75.11%p		114.55%p
	55.49%p		64.82%p		238.26%p
	51.07%p	( )	146.89%p		180.44%p
	95.28%p		128.88%p	( )	129.36%p
	27.76%p		195.02%p		216.25%p
	62.35%p		113.03%p		174.27%p
	70.95%p		151.63%p		220.65%p
	30.79%p		248.97%p		149.74%p
	46.58%p		148.72%p		100.88%p
	54.57%p		132.61%p		258.38%p
	46.92%p		165.66%p		

: 76 · .

〈부도 10〉 노령화지수(2010년)

· : 69.7% · (76 ·) : 245.0%

〈부표 11〉 노령화지수(2010년)

	69.7%		42.58%		260.26%
	245.0%		144.20%		290.30%
	68.54%		132.33%		190.27%
( )	71.21%		54.11%		151.31%
	80.62%		80.61%		147.03%
( )	44.78%		149.38%		220.46%
	53.58%		30.20%		318.28%
( )	42.70%		110.91%		252.71%
	237.93%		154.01%		257.03%
	190.58%		191.29%		342.18%
	49.46%		100.99%		272.66%
	51.05%		54.33%		259.20%
( )	16.28%		75.24%		233.04%
	52.85%		81.42%		214.95%
	39.60%		121.22%		283.63%
	54.77%		57.25%		303.76%
	58.32%		77.45%		264.09%
	48.69%		64.54%		364.16%
	46.85%		48.29%		310.17%
	46.91%		145.56%		140.25%
	53.86%		65.37%		257.75%
	82.83%		110.41%		259.15%
	35.70%		141.95%		223.37%
	52.37%		138.34%		129.49%
	51.42%		30.98%		321.26%
	45.03%		74.05%		197.46%
	47.41%		63.07%		227.34%
	29.32%		73.78%		239.94%
	31.26%		76.58%		267.27%
	43.43%		102.70%		444.60%
	48.70%		35.93%		145.56%
	59.88%		34.60%		191.11%
	43.73%		48.01%		214.55%
	58.37%		48.41%		199.79%
	56.45%		130.33%		514.84%
	75.59%		57.66%		480.76%
	56.75%		99.54%		344.90%
	33.04%		153.16%		364.12%
	49.82%		104.21%		327.63%
	55.50%		142.98%		378.83%
	84.15%		193.22%		211.86%
	101.86%		197.33%		281.80%
	148.20%		157.78%		346.98%
	155.00%		159.50%		321.66%
	81.63%		106.77%		183.37%
	64.47%		124.11%		176.55%
	96.82%		114.13%		171.54%
	84.84%		102.77%		358.29%
	78.51%	( )	199.57%		259.53%
	142.83%		187.42%	( )	210.36%
	45.36%		278.27%		323.61%
	96.94%		181.82%		259.42%
	104.44%		225.13%		326.33%
	90.38%		337.97%		241.76%
	95.74%		201.95%		172.08%
	103.26%		203.91%		360.83%
	78.28%		233.68%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 11〉 총인구 대비 취업자 비율(2005년)

• : 40.8% • (76 ·) : 51.4%

〈부표 12〉 총인구 대비 취업자 비율(2005년)

	40.8%		42.71%		47.99%
	51.4%		42.64%		55.37%
	40.77%		45.45%		49.57%
( )	37.27%		49.00%		43.02%
	39.14%		48.67%		47.16%
( )	36.36%		43.08%		47.19%
	42.96%		36.10%		58.94%
( )	39.02%		42.24%		56.07%
	49.39%		49.54%		53.43%
	50.59%		55.42%		58.00%
	36.90%		52.56%		58.66%
	37.55%		34.56%		55.27%
( )	39.48%		36.71%		49.86%
	41.89%		36.73%		47.12%
	40.56%		41.66%		50.93%
	41.01%		35.56%		56.59%
	39.57%		38.24%		53.05%
	39.56%		39.37%		58.28%
	40.02%		41.83%		53.05%
	39.52%		50.42%		44.87%
	42.12%		40.73%		53.42%
	40.60%		43.01%		53.77%
	40.02%		47.62%		57.77%
	38.49%		42.12%		50.32%
	37.37%		45.50%		53.58%
	39.63%		36.90%		51.84%
	38.64%		48.19%		48.30%
	43.46%		39.04%		50.79%
	41.45%		42.68%		56.00%
	39.31%		44.34%		64.21%
	38.83%		39.84%		42.17%
	42.11%		46.01%		49.73%
	38.54%		40.03%		52.21%
	40.34%		40.48%		47.58%
	47.02%		50.53%		60.49%
	43.07%		43.96%		62.94%
	39.55%		51.83%		57.22%
	45.67%		43.06%		59.40%
	42.00%		38.68%		50.46%
	40.62%		39.42%		53.73%
	40.81%		48.37%		53.80%
	46.07%		40.91%		54.60%
	43.99%		51.62%		56.40%
	51.13%		47.35%		54.14%
	36.70%		43.31%		51.46%
	38.54%		47.12%		58.28%
	39.95%		45.44%		45.02%
	40.39%		41.27%		54.42%
	39.76%	( )	40.05%		53.61%
	43.07%		50.41%	( )	50.29%
	37.74%		53.12%		52.07%
	41.14%		49.61%		52.43%
	39.43%		50.98%		57.85%
	43.79%		56.18%		53.77%
	46.84%		44.38%		51.06%
	47.29%		49.15%		57.24%
	41.45%		49.30%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 12〉 총인구 대비 농림어업 취업자 비율(2005년)



· : 4.4% · (76 ·) : 28.7%

〈부표 13〉 총인구 대비 농림어업 취업자 비율(2005년)

	4.4%		3.28%		25.34%
	28.7%		15.98%		33.83%
	0.12%		16.18%		24.28%
(　)	0.55%		9.00%		18.97%
	5.64%		18.00%		20.66%
(　)	0.56%		17.45%		26.19%
	7.83%		3.75%		42.91%
(　)	0.32%		12.11%		34.80%
	23.68%		22.11%		35.75%
	27.32%		27.34%		39.01%
	1.97%		22.80%		39.75%
	0.61%		1.56%		35.54%
(　)	0.50%		5.66%		28.86%
	7.24%		6.88%		28.27%
	0.40%		18.75%		29.63%
	0.25%		1.45%		38.85%
	0.22%		7.61%		29.49%
	0.19%		8.27%		38.79%
	0.12%		8.83%		33.39%
	0.56%		25.29%		21.38%
	4.12%		4.46%		35.63%
	2.27%		11.12%		32.96%
	0.54%		18.91%		37.71%
	1.09%		15.40%		34.69%
	0.62%		3.30%		35.62%
	0.74%		5.30%		28.47%
	1.81%		8.29%		26.72%
	1.07%		6.42%		31.73%
	0.85%		9.70%		39.21%
	0.46%		12.81%		48.69%
	1.15%		3.28%		14.90%
	2.45%		4.98%		22.50%
	1.03%		2.17%		29.45%
	3.64%		1.79%		23.07%
	7.51%		23.19%		38.00%
	9.47%		8.11%		47.44%
	3.62%		24.23%		36.54%
	7.12%		15.53%		41.86%
	2.36%		2.11%		26.57%
	3.48%		11.40%		35.18%
	6.85%		23.71%		31.91%
	14.17%		16.71%		33.98%
	10.92%		23.21%		38.62%
	19.73%		14.41%		36.18%
	3.85%		15.71%		21.87%
	3.69%		19.73%		17.67%
	5.61%		17.02%		21.52%
	5.03%		8.11%		35.28%
	1.84%	(　)	16.59%		30.10%
	14.11%		17.41%	(　)	24.78%
	1.29%		31.65%		30.78%
	9.06%		23.33%		28.80%
	7.92%		29.09%		40.91%
	16.40%		36.68%		34.90%
	12.34%		16.47%		27.09%
	14.48%		26.56%		37.85%
	9.20%		28.99%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 13〉 총인구 대비 비농림어업 취업자 비율(2005년)



· : 36.3%   · (76 ·) : 22.7%

〈부표 14〉 총인구 대비 비농림어업 취업자 비율(2005년)

	36.3%		39.43%		22.65%
	22.7%		26.67%		21.54%
	40.65%		29.27%		25.28%
( )	36.72%		40.00%		24.05%
	33.50%		30.67%		26.51%
( )	35.80%		25.63%		20.99%
	35.12%		32.34%		16.03%
( )	38.69%		30.12%		21.27%
	25.71%		27.43%		17.68%
	23.27%		28.07%		18.99%
	34.94%		29.76%		18.91%
	36.93%		33.01%		19.73%
( )	38.98%		31.05%		21.00%
	34.65%		29.85%		18.85%
	40.16%		22.91%		21.30%
	40.75%		34.11%		17.74%
	39.35%		30.63%		23.56%
	39.38%		31.10%		19.48%
	39.91%		33.00%		19.66%
	38.96%		25.13%		23.49%
	38.00%		36.27%		17.79%
	38.33%		31.90%		20.80%
	39.48%		28.71%		20.07%
	37.40%		26.72%		15.62%
	36.76%		42.20%		17.95%
	38.89%		31.60%		23.37%
	36.83%		39.90%		21.58%
	42.40%		32.63%		19.06%
	40.59%		32.98%		16.78%
	38.86%		31.53%		15.52%
	37.67%		36.56%		27.27%
	39.65%		41.03%		27.23%
	37.51%		37.86%		22.76%
	36.69%		38.69%		24.51%
	39.51%		27.34%		22.49%
	33.60%		35.85%		15.50%
	35.93%		27.60%		20.68%
	38.56%		27.53%		17.54%
	39.64%		36.57%		23.89%
	37.13%		28.02%		18.55%
	33.96%		24.66%		21.89%
	31.90%		24.20%		20.62%
	33.07%		28.41%		17.78%
	31.40%		32.95%		17.97%
	32.85%		27.61%		29.59%
	34.85%		27.39%		40.61%
	34.34%		28.41%		23.50%
	35.36%		33.17%		19.14%
	37.91%	( )	23.45%		23.51%
	28.96%		33.00%	( )	25.51%
	36.45%		21.47%		21.29%
	32.08%		26.28%		23.63%
	31.51%		21.90%		16.94%
	27.39%		19.51%		18.88%
	34.50%		27.91%		23.97%
	32.81%		22.59%		19.39%
	32.25%		20.31%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 14〉 연평균 사업체수 증가율(1997년~2009년)



· : 1.1% · (76 ·) : -0.7%

〈부표 15〉 연평균 사업체수 증가율(1997년~2009년)

	1.1%		3.79%		-0.54%
	-0.7%		-0.13%		-0.85%
	0.36%		0.76%		-0.90%
( )	0.16%		2.23%		-0.87%
	2.22%		0.89%		-0.97%
( )	0.25%		-0.09%		0.21%
	2.20%		7.83%		-2.11%
( )	1.61%		-0.35%		-0.51%
	0.40%		0.04%		-1.86%
	2.75%		0.73%		-1.34%
	1.58%		1.39%		-1.10%
	0.73%		0.98%		-0.08%
( )	1.81%		1.16%		-0.26%
	3.68%		-0.02%		-1.09%
	1.68%		1.03%		-1.30%
	-0.89%		0.37%		-2.51%
	2.60%		-0.87%		-1.54%
	2.06%		0.58%		-2.07%
	1.26%		2.24%		-1.21%
	1.39%		-1.21%		0.73%
	2.64%		1.05%		-0.58%
	0.94%		0.32%		-1.35%
	3.29%		0.51%		-0.52%
	5.80%		0.34%		-0.25%
	2.21%		2.76%		-1.58%
	1.69%		2.28%		-0.49%
	6.24%		3.99%		-0.65%
	4.62%		0.76%		-1.50%
	8.12%		-0.21%		-1.09%
	3.11%		-0.18%		-1.69%
	2.60%		6.31%		-0.50%
	4.93%		2.24%		0.18%
	7.28%		4.31%		-0.77%
	4.70%		0.61%		-0.53%
	3.12%		1.65%		-1.47%
	3.93%		2.57%		-2.02%
	5.36%		0.91%		-1.55%
	10.60%		-0.82%		-1.81%
	9.21%		0.06%		-0.62%
	5.30%		-0.13%		-0.31%
	3.07%		0.31%		1.22%
	2.09%		0.24%		0.45%
	2.03%		1.33%		-1.04%
	2.46%		-0.04%		-0.85%
	1.28%		-0.58%		-0.99%
	2.52%		-1.66%		-0.03%
	1.71%		-0.82%		0.17%
	0.65%		0.21%		-1.65%
	0.40%	( )	-0.17%		-1.11%
	-0.10%		1.39%	( )	-0.79%
	1.53%		-1.46%		-0.69%
	0.33%		0.27%		-1.57%
	0.85%		-1.01%		-1.09%
	4.95%		-0.28%		0.09%
	2.11%		-0.56%		-0.03%
	1.72%		0.03%		-1.17%
	0.77%		-1.93%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 15〉 연평균 사업체 종사자수 증가율(1997년~2009년)



· : 1.7% · (76 ·) : 0.3%

〈부표 16〉 연평균 사업체 종사자수 증가율(1997년~2009년)

	1.7%		4.75%		0.01%
	0.3%		1.21%		0.49%
	0.88%		0.74%		-0.05%
( )	0.61%		4.77%		-0.33%
	1.43%		2.29%		-0.79%
( )	0.73%		1.21%		1.48%
	1.26%		8.83%		-1.16%
( )	1.76%		0.36%		0.40%
	0.56%		1.71%		0.98%
	2.77%		0.40%		-0.30%
	2.63%		3.09%		0.02%
	1.93%		1.49%		0.55%
( )	2.06%		1.91%		1.08%
	2.89%		0.54%		1.00%
	2.96%		3.23%		1.09%
	1.97%		0.90%		-0.24%
	3.82%		-0.47%		-1.14%
	2.69%		2.03%		-2.00%
	2.11%		2.86%		-1.19%
	1.14%		1.08%		1.70%
	3.44%		0.75%		0.10%
	2.07%		1.30%		-0.58%
	2.57%		1.41%		1.38%
	6.70%		1.35%		2.05%
	1.69%		1.40%		0.19%
	3.36%		2.06%		0.26%
	6.82%		3.45%		1.41%
	4.47%		1.55%		-6.14%
	5.49%		2.18%		-0.59%
	3.53%		2.26%		-0.26%
	2.68%		6.94%		-0.13%
	7.08%		4.84%		0.74%
	7.55%		2.85%		-0.08%
	6.18%		0.80%		0.37%
	2.69%		3.39%		0.48%
	6.06%		2.87%		-1.64%
	5.34%		1.04%		0.43%
	9.35%		-0.30%		0.57%
	8.21%		-0.55%		0.27%
	3.92%		-0.16%		0.76%
	3.79%		0.87%		2.47%
	2.76%		1.08%		1.74%
	3.85%		1.63%		-0.13%
	3.47%		1.95%		0.48%
	1.90%		-0.39%		-1.54%
	2.68%		-0.52%		0.94%
	1.66%		1.97%		0.90%
	0.58%		1.49%		1.73%
	-0.31%	( )	-0.52%		0.32%
	1.58%		1.28%	( )	1.78%
	1.73%		0.22%		0.78%
	1.34%		0.55%		-2.25%
	2.04%		-0.30%		0.55%
	6.63%		0.74%		3.11%
	3.20%		-0.54%		1.58%
	2.54%		1.51%		-0.53%
	1.62%		-1.09%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 16〉 연평균 제조업 사업체수 증가율(1997년~2009년)



· : 0.3% · (76 ·) : -1.0%

〈부표 17〉 연평균 제조업 사업체수 증가율(1997년~2009년)

	0.3%		3.27%		-2.15%
	-1.0%		-2.27%		-0.87%
	-2.59%		-1.69%		-1.70%
( )	-0.76%		3.80%		-2.27%
	-0.20%		-0.39%		-3.02%
( )	-0.82%		-0.29%		-0.52%
	0.38%		2.43%		-1.85%
( )	1.15%		-1.29%		-0.41%
	-2.03%		-3.88%		-3.49%
	-1.47%		-1.94%		-2.71%
	0.53%		0.06%		-0.85%
	-0.54%		-1.01%		0.24%
( )	1.19%		0.75%		-1.28%
	3.71%		-0.87%		-1.38%
	-1.48%		0.49%		-4.20%
	-2.55%		-3.00%		-3.51%
	-0.01%		-2.74%		-1.79%
	0.12%		-0.81%		-1.56%
	0.07%		1.92%		-0.80%
	-0.82%		0.42%		-0.33%
	3.29%		0.53%		-1.07%
	-1.80%		1.90%		-1.67%
	3.91%		0.15%		-0.36%
	3.25%		0.08%		1.31%
	0.50%		2.94%		-3.86%
	-4.60%		1.10%		7.29%
	4.85%		4.81%		0.40%
	2.05%		0.00%		-4.49%
	8.34%		-2.87%		-3.48%
	3.78%		-1.34%		-3.09%
	-0.89%		7.76%		-0.52%
	4.93%		1.11%		0.91%
	2.10%		4.20%		-1.30%
	5.30%		1.57%		-0.67%
	2.84%		3.28%		-1.06%
	5.19%		1.80%		-2.52%
	5.20%		-1.00%		-0.99%
	11.59%		-0.22%		-1.68%
	8.72%		-0.18%		-0.03%
	3.07%		-2.37%		-0.95%
	5.18%		-0.52%		4.09%
	-0.24%		0.00%		3.48%
	-0.91%		-1.11%		-2.22%
	-1.50%		-0.32%		-0.87%
	-0.41%		-1.64%		-2.03%
	1.15%		-2.68%		-2.55%
	0.75%		-0.43%		-1.05%
	-2.06%		-0.71%		-0.54%
	-2.53%	( )	-4.41%		0.02%
	-2.11%		1.71%	( )	-0.74%
	0.17%		-1.44%		-2.66%
	-0.35%		0.74%		-0.90%
	1.03%		-0.80%		-1.92%
	5.83%		-0.35%		-0.34%
	2.62%		-0.92%		-1.12%
	3.02%		2.76%		-1.39%
	-0.42%		-2.14%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 17〉 연평균 제조업체 종사자수 증가율(1997년~2009년)



· : -0.4% · (76 ·) : -0.2%

〈부표 18〉 연평균 제조업체 종사자수 증가율(1997년~2009년)

	-0.4%		4.29%		0.72%
	-0.2%		-0.02%		2.66%
	-6.68%		1.10%		1.07%
( )	-2.13%		6.30%		-0.98%
	-1.95%		4.54%		-1.87%
( )	-1.83%		2.26%		1.73%
	-0.54%		-1.49%		-0.51%
( )	-0.75%		-0.11%		1.23%
	-2.03%		2.13%		1.05%
	1.48%		-2.73%		-1.33%
	1.31%		5.56%		-1.11%
	-0.47%		-3.32%		1.73%
( )	0.31%		2.34%		0.55%
	0.73%		-1.50%		-0.88%
	-2.15%		2.76%		-3.93%
	-0.92%		-4.87%		0.16%
	-0.55%		-0.04%		-2.01%
	-2.18%		1.67%		0.88%
	-1.01%		0.42%		1.10%
	-2.39%		3.40%		2.00%
	3.38%		-0.53%		-1.31%
	0.38%		2.18%		-1.65%
	0.77%		1.20%		1.44%
	3.82%		1.02%		-0.93%
	2.60%		0.60%		-2.48%
	-3.80%		-0.30%		7.78%
	3.88%		2.66%		2.27%
	-1.36%		-0.50%		-8.21%
	3.82%		8.00%		-2.44%
	-1.07%		4.70%		-0.05%
	-1.72%		6.45%		0.63%
	4.54%		5.73%		0.71%
	3.27%		0.33%		1.87%
	5.88%		-0.56%		1.23%
	1.75%		3.58%		-0.88%
	8.00%		1.71%		-2.26%
	4.23%		0.14%		-1.95%
	7.99%		1.66%		-4.47%
	6.62%		-0.03%		-2.35%
	0.58%		-4.03%		-1.57%
	3.32%		-0.75%		3.58%
	-1.12%		2.06%		3.07%
	0.42%		0.24%		-2.51%
	0.70%		-2.51%		1.75%
	-2.41%		-4.09%		-5.11%
	0.81%		-1.84%		2.48%
	-1.73%		1.12%		0.19%
	-0.53%		-1.79%		0.64%
	-2.97%	( )	-7.29%		3.13%
	0.50%		3.84%	( )	5.37%
	-1.82%		0.77%		-2.52%
	0.57%		-2.03%		-2.61%
	0.95%		-0.84%		-1.35%
	5.90%		0.94%		3.99%
	4.47%		-3.42%		2.71%
	2.99%		3.41%		-4.55%
	0.88%		-0.13%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 18〉 연평균 비제조업 사업체수 증가율(1997년~2009년)



· : 1.2% · (76 ·) : -0.7%

〈부표 19〉 연평균 비제조업 사업체수 증가율(1997년~2009년)

	1.2%		3.84%		-0.36%
	-0.7%		0.09%		-0.85%
	0.66%		0.99%		-0.82%
( )	0.27%		2.05%		-0.72%
	2.60%		0.98%		-0.74%
( )	0.41%		-0.07%		0.31%
	2.96%		8.11%		-2.15%
( )	1.67%		-0.22%		-0.51%
	0.59%		0.46%		-1.67%
	2.90%		0.88%		-1.17%
	1.67%		1.51%		-1.13%
	0.84%		1.11%		-0.11%
( )	1.85%		1.19%		-0.17%
	3.67%		0.08%		-1.06%
	1.90%		1.10%		-0.58%
	-0.76%		0.59%		-2.40%
	2.77%		-0.74%		-1.52%
	2.26%		0.67%		-2.13%
	1.52%		2.26%		-1.25%
	1.61%		-1.42%		0.86%
	2.58%		1.09%		-0.52%
	1.18%		0.15%		-1.31%
	3.20%		0.55%		-0.54%
	6.06%		0.36%		-0.45%
	2.25%		2.74%		-1.28%
	2.23%		2.49%		-1.58%
	6.50%		3.77%		-0.80%
	4.84%		0.84%		-1.17%
	8.04%		-0.01%		-0.88%
	2.98%		-0.07%		-1.58%
	3.16%		5.98%		-0.49%
	4.93%		2.31%		0.07%
	7.88%		4.33%		-0.72%
	4.58%		0.51%		-0.52%
	3.16%		1.14%		-1.53%
	3.75%		2.60%		-1.96%
	5.43%		0.99%		-1.59%
	10.25%		-0.87%		-1.82%
	9.39%		0.07%		-0.67%
	6.18%		0.02%		-0.24%
	2.38%		0.38%		0.37%
	2.45%		0.26%		-0.15%
	2.20%		1.45%		-0.91%
	2.73%		-0.03%		-0.85%
	1.37%		-0.52%		-0.93%
	2.61%		-1.61%		0.21%
	1.78%		-0.85%		0.28%
	0.84%		0.26%		-1.80%
	0.55%	( )	0.12%		-1.25%
	0.03%		1.37%	( )	-0.79%
	1.61%		-1.46%		-0.55%
	0.39%		0.20%		-1.63%
	0.83%		-1.03%		-1.00%
	4.76%		-0.27%		0.14%
	2.01%		-0.54%		0.07%
	1.46%		-0.44%		-1.14%
	0.88%		-1.91%		

: 76 · .

〈부도 19〉 연평균 비제조업체 종사자수 증가율(1997년~2009년)



· : 2.3% · (76 ·) : 0.4%

〈부표 20〉 연평균 비제조업체 종사자수 증가율(1997년~2009년)

	2.3%		4.98%		-0.17%
	0.4%		1.46%		-0.16%
	1.89%		0.68%		-0.33%
( )	1.24%		3.15%		-0.16%
	3.62%		1.68%		-0.60%
( )	1.37%		0.94%		1.40%
	3.62%		9.72%		-1.27%
( )	2.94%		0.67%		0.36%
	0.89%		1.65%		0.97%
	2.80%		0.55%		-0.09%
	2.88%		2.17%		0.24%
	2.26%		1.96%		0.40%
( )	3.13%		1.76%		1.14%
	5.50%		1.23%		1.45%
	4.27%		3.63%		3.26%
	2.47%		1.37%		-0.41%
	4.19%		-0.57%		-1.07%
	3.93%		2.06%		-2.49%
	3.67%		3.68%		-1.46%
	2.14%		-1.25%		1.66%
	3.47%		1.11%		0.30%
	2.54%		0.95%		-0.42%
	4.17%		1.47%		1.37%
	7.13%		1.37%		2.63%
	1.68%		2.28%		0.79%
	4.27%		3.41%		-0.56%
	7.83%		4.32%		1.04%
	7.02%		1.87%		-5.75%
	7.54%		0.60%		-0.42%
	6.28%		1.25%		-0.28%
	4.85%		7.32%		-0.25%
	7.68%		3.99%		0.76%
	9.19%		5.29%		-0.37%
	6.40%		1.51%		0.25%
	3.34%		3.18%		0.99%
	4.88%		2.92%		-1.54%
	6.62%		1.08%		0.56%
	11.46%		-0.64%		1.02%
	9.48%		-0.58%		0.67%
	8.07%		0.23%		1.29%
	4.17%		1.41%		1.49%
	4.16%		0.95%		1.00%
	4.18%		1.72%		0.20%
	3.68%		2.24%		0.24%
	2.22%		0.05%		-1.19%
	3.02%		-0.42%		0.72%
	2.02%		2.03%		1.07%
	0.71%		1.76%		2.04%
	-0.15%	( )	0.24%		-0.48%
	1.71%		1.02%	( )	0.59%
	2.58%		0.09%		1.04%
	1.51%		1.97%		-2.22%
	2.18%		-0.16%		0.82%
	7.47%		0.66%		2.96%
	1.60%		0.23%		1.47%
	1.97%		0.55%		0.18%
	1.92%		-1.30%		

: 76 · .

2.

1)

“

. ,

, , ”(2

7) . 2 2

· ( ) 5

, , ,

, .

70 · 2009 .

(< 21> < 22>).

〈부표 21〉 성장촉진지역 지정 지표

	2007 ·
	1997 ~2007 10
	2005~2007 3
	2006~2008 3
	· · · ·

< 21> . , ,

, , .

(2 , 1)

. . 70 .

< 22> .

"… . ,

… "

.

〈부표 22〉 성장촉진지역 지정 현황

(7)	, , , , , ,
(5)	, , , ,
(5)	, , , ,
(10)	, , , , , , , , ,
(17)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(16)	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
(10)	, , , , , , , , ,

2)

" .

"(2 8)

. 「 」 ,

「 」 (

) , . ,

,

.

〈부도 20〉 성장촉진지역과 특수상황지역(접경지역)



□ 접경지역

「 」

· ( ), 「

」

. (「

」 2). 2011 「 」

「 」(2000)

. < 23>

. 「 」

,

15 · , 「 」

15 · 98 · · (< 24>).

〈부표 23〉 접경지역 지정 기준

(1) 1953 「 」 · ( )	(1) 25㎞ · · · ① 5 , ② , ③ , ④ , ⑤
	(2) 2㎞ ① 「 」 , , , ② .
(2) .	(3) , , , , ( )

2003 5 1278 (: 2003 ~2012) (, 2010). 「 」 . ① · , ② · · , ③ , ④ · , ⑤ , ⑥ · · , ⑦ · · , ⑧ · , ⑨

, ⑩ , ⑪ , ⑫ .
, ⑬ , ⑭ .
(「 」 5).
, . .

〈부표 24〉 특수상황지역: 접경지역

	(2011)	(2011)	
			, , , , , , , , , , , ,
			, , ,
			, , ,
			, ,
			, , , , , , , , , , , ,
			, , , ,
			, , , ,
			, , , , , , , , ,
			, , , , ,
			,
			, , , , , , , , , ,
			, , , ,
			, , , ,
			, , , , ,
			, , , , ,

□ 개발대상도서

.

, 10 ,

10

, 10 (「 」 4

3). < 25> .

1986 「 」 , 10

3 (1 1988 ~1997 ; 2 1998

~2007 ; 3 2008 ~2017) (, 2010).

「 」 6 , ①

, ② , ③

· , ④ · , ⑤ · ·

· · , ⑥ .

.

〈부표 25〉 특수상황지역: 개발대상도서

		,
		, , , , , , ,
		, ,
		,
		,
		, , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		, , ,
		,
		, ,
		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
		, , ,
		, , , , , ( ), ( ), ,
		, , (,) , , , (,)
		, ,
		, , , , , , ,
		, , , , , , , ,
		, , , ,
		,